

A 3D vectorial optical transfer function suitable for arbitrary pupil functions

Matthew R. Arnison, Colin J. R. Sheppard

*Physical Optics Laboratory, School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW, 2006,
Australia*

Abstract

We calculate the 3D vectorial optical transfer function directly from the vectorial pupil function, without making the paraxial assumption nor assuming radially symmetric pupils. Our model uses a single autocorrelation integral with Cartesian pupil co-ordinates to calculate the transfer function. Results for Herschel and aplanatic systems are presented. We discuss the meaning and application of a vectorial transfer function as a tool for analysing high aperture incoherent microscopy modes including fluorescence and transmission.

Key words: Optical transfer function; Fourier optics; Incoherent microscopy; Vectorial focusing; 3D image formation

PACS: 42.30.Kq; 42.30.Lr; 07.60.Pb

1 Introduction

The transfer function is an important tool in optical design, where it is used to evaluate the performance of a given optical system. The optical transfer function (OTF) for a linear, space-invariant system is given by the Fourier transform of the intensity point spread function (PSF). Even if the system is not linear or space-invariant, the Fourier transform of the intensity PSF is a useful measure of the system performance, and is often termed the OTF. The 3D OTF describes the action of a lens in terms of spatial frequencies in the 3D PSF produced at the focus. By determining the system OTF, together with a model for the response of the object to incident light, we can build up a model for the system as a whole.

Email address: mra@physics.usyd.edu.au, colin@physics.usyd.edu.au
(Matthew R. Arnison, Colin J. R. Sheppard).

URL: <http://www.physics.usyd.edu.au/physopt/> (Matthew R. Arnison, Colin J. R. Sheppard).

Maximising the performance of high resolution microscopy requires increasingly accurate models for the high numerical aperture lenses used, for the effects of their apodisation functions, and for any aberrations introduced by the specimen. Vectorial theory for the accurate calculation of the high aperture PSF has been available for a long time [1,2,3]. Yet despite the popularity of Fourier optics for modelling low aperture systems, the field of high aperture vectorial transfer functions remains relatively unexplored.

Frieden [4] first derived the scalar 3D OTF as an autocorrelation using the paraxial approximation. This autocorrelation provides a method for calculating the OTF directly from the pupil function. This is much simpler than first obtaining the PSF and then performing a Fourier transform — especially in 3D. Frieden’s work was extended by Sheppard *et al* [5] to cover the scalar high numerical aperture (“high NA”) case by explicitly avoiding the paraxial assumption. This work derived analytical expressions, assuming cylindrical symmetry in the pupil function. However, modelling arbitrary aberrations and pupil functions, and indeed using a vectorial approach taking account of the asymmetry of incident polarised light, requires a more general non-cylindrical model.

An alternative approach is to calculate the autocorrelation as a multiplication in Fourier space, allowing the use of the fast Fourier transfer (FFT) algorithm. This method was applied to vectorial pupil functions by Sheppard & Larkin [6], resulting in a vectorial OTF. This is a useful method, especially for 2D projections. However calculation using 3D FFTs would be awkward, delicate and time consuming due to the need for careful consideration of sampling issues, accuracy and the large 3D arrays required.

A vectorial OTF was also presented by Urban czyk [7], but the analysis was restricted to a 2D OTF for low angle systems. The earliest mention of an incoherent scalar OTF with an axial dimension was by Mertz [8, pp. 101–102].

Our approach in this paper is to expand the development of two papers by Sheppard *et al* [5,6], generalising where necessary to full 3D Cartesian co-ordinates, in order to avoid the assumption of radial symmetry. We present general descriptions of the vectorial lens pupil functions. This pupil function description is then used in an autocorrelation to form the vectorial optical transfer function (OTF), which may be geometrically interpreted as the volume of overlap between two spherical shells.

We derive general formulas for the volume of overlap, which do not assume radial symmetry, resulting in a single integral. This equation is straightforward, if time consuming, to calculate, and serves as a useful alternative to the Fourier transform method [6]. We then plot the vectorial OTF for various cases. Finally we discuss the implications and potential uses of a vectorial OTF.

2 Vectorial pupil function

The theory of Richards and Wolf [3] describes how to determine the electric field near to the focus of a lens which is illuminated by a plane polarised quasi-monochromatic light wave. Their analysis assumes very large values of the Fresnel number, equivalent to the Debye approximation. We can then write the equation for the vectorial amplitude PSF $\vec{E}(\vec{x})$ of a high NA lens illuminated with a plane polarised wave as the Fourier transform of the complex vectorial pupil function $\vec{Q}(\vec{m})$ [9],

$$\vec{E}(\vec{x}) = -\frac{ik}{2\pi} \int \int \int \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) \exp(ik\vec{m} \cdot \vec{x}) d\vec{m} . \quad (1)$$

Here $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number, λ is the wavelength, $\vec{m} = (m, n, s)$ is the Cartesian pupil co-ordinate, and $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$ is the focal co-ordinate. The vectorial pupil function describes the effect of a lens on the polarisation of the incident field, the complex value of any amplitude or phase filters across the aperture, and any additional aberration in the lens focusing behaviour from that which produces a perfect spherical wavefront converging on the focal point.

Because we are describing the behaviour of electromagnetic waves, they must obey the Maxwell equations, giving an important constraint — the homogeneous Helmholtz equation for time-independent vectorial wave fields,

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E}(\vec{x}) + k^2 \vec{E}(\vec{x}) = 0 , \quad (2)$$

assuming a constant refractive index in the focal region.

By expressing the Helmholtz equation in Fourier space, we can determine the electromagnetic constraints on the pupil function $\vec{Q}(\vec{m})$. Applying the Fourier relationship $\nabla^2 \vec{E}(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow -|\vec{m}|^2 \vec{Q}(\vec{m})$, we have

$$(|\vec{m}|^2 - k^2) \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) = 0 , \quad (3)$$

which means that the pupil function is only non-zero on the surface of a sphere with radius k ,

$$\vec{Q}(\vec{m}) = \vec{P}(\vec{m}) \delta(|\vec{m}| - k) . \quad (4)$$

This is known as the Ewald sphere in the theory of X-ray diffraction.

For a practical quasi-monochromatic wave, k cannot have purely a single value, so the spread of wavelengths in the illumination light gives a small finite thickness to

the spherical shell. For this paper we examine the monochromatic case and assume a thin shell of thickness δk and take the limit $\delta k \rightarrow 0$.

Because the pupil function only exists on the surface of a sphere, we can slice it along the $s = 0$ plane into a pair of functions

$$\vec{Q}(\vec{m}) = \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) \frac{k}{s} \delta(s - \sqrt{k^2 - l^2}) + \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) \frac{k}{s} \delta(s + \sqrt{k^2 - l^2}) , \quad (5)$$

representing forward and backward propagation. Here we have introduced a radial co-ordinate $l = \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}$. The k/s factor accounts for the change in projected thickness of the spherical shell for declination angles away from the s axis, a side-effect of rewriting the delta function in terms of s rather than the radius $|\vec{m}|$.

Now we examine the axial projections $\vec{P}_{\pm}(m, n)$ of the pupil function,

$$\vec{P}_{+}(m, n) = \int_0^{\infty} \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) ds \quad (6)$$

$$\vec{P}_{-}(m, n) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) ds , \quad (7)$$

which represent forward and backward propagation respectively. Together these 2D functions give a complete description of the 3D pupil function $\vec{Q}(\vec{m})$. Restricting our attention to the forward propagation case, we can write

$$\vec{P}_{+}(m, n) = \int_0^{\infty} \vec{Q}(\vec{m}) \frac{k}{s} \delta(s - \sqrt{k^2 - l^2}) ds \quad (8)$$

$$= \vec{Q}(m, n, s_{+}) \frac{1}{s_{+}} , \quad (9)$$

where we have normalised the radius to $k = 1$ and indicated the constraint on s to the surface of the sphere with $s_{+} = \sqrt{1 - l^2}$.

The aperture of the lens is represented by slicing a cap off the top of the sphere. The angle α subtended by the cap at the centre of the sphere is related to the numerical aperture NA and the refractive index n of the imaging medium (such as air, water or immersion oil) by

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{\text{NA}}{n} , \quad (10)$$

as shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1. A cross-section through the pupil sphere in the (m, s) plane.




Figure 2. The vectorial strength factors $\vec{a}(m, n)$ for linearly polarised light propagating in the forward direction, mapped onto the spherical pupil caps, with $\alpha = \pi/3$.

For incident light which is plane-polarised along the x axis, we can derive a vectorial strength function $\vec{a}(m, n)$, from the strength factors used in the vectorial point spread function integrals [3,10,6]

$$\vec{a}(m, n) = \begin{pmatrix} (m^2 s_+ + n^2)/l^2 \\ -mn(1 - s_+)/l^2 \\ -m \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where we have converted from the spherical polar representation in Richards and Wolf to Cartesian co-ordinates. We show an example case for $\vec{a}(m, n)$ in figure 2.

We can now model polarisation, apodisation and aperture filtering as amplitude and phase functions over the projected pupil,

$$\vec{P}_+(m, n) = \frac{1}{s_+} \vec{a}(m, n) S(m, n) T(m, n) \quad (12)$$

representing forward propagation only ($\alpha \leq \pi/2$), where $S(m, n)$ is the apodisation function, and $T(m, n)$ is any complex transmission filter applied across the aperture of the lens. This transmission factor can also be used to model focusing aberrations.

For uniform focusing, the Herschel condition, the apodisation function is simply

$$S_h(m, n) = 1 . \quad (13)$$

Microscope objectives are usually designed to obey the sine condition, giving aplanatic imaging [11], for which we write the apodisation as

$$S_s(m, n) = \cos^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \quad (14)$$

$$= \sqrt{s_+} . \quad (15)$$

3 Three dimensional vectorial optical transfer function

For incoherent imaging, we are interested in using the OTF to describe the frequency components of the intensity $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}^*$ of the PSF. From (1) and the Fourier autocorrelation theorem [12],

$$|f(\vec{x})|^2 \iff \int \int \int F(\vec{m} + \frac{\vec{m}'}{2}) F^*(\vec{m} - \frac{\vec{m}'}{2}) d\vec{m} \quad (16)$$

(where $\vec{F}(\vec{m})$ is the Fourier transform of $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$), we can obtain the OTF $\vec{C}(\vec{K})$ by taking the autocorrelation of the pupil function \vec{Q} ,

$$C(\vec{K}) = \int \int \int \vec{Q}(\vec{m} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{K}) \cdot \vec{Q}^*(\vec{m} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{K}) d\vec{m} . \quad (17)$$

The spatial frequency $\vec{K} = (m, n, s)$ may be represented geometrically as the shift of one copy of the pupil sphere cap relative to the other. The total value of the integral for a given spatial frequency \vec{K} is given by the total volume of intersection of the shifted spherical shells, multiplied at each intersection point by the values of the pupil functions at that location.

The spheres intersect in a circle perpendicular to the direction of the shift between them, which we call the *circle of intersection*, as shown schematically in figure 3. When the spheres are shifted so far that they no longer intersect, the value of the OTF for that shift must be zero, giving the absolute spatial frequency cutoff.

At any given point along the circle of intersection, the intersection between the spherical shells has a rhombic cross-section (Fig. 4). Therefore for any pupil shift




Figure 3. 3D views of the shifted spherical pupil caps for $K = (0.8, 0.2, 0.2)$ and $\alpha = \pi/2$. The intersection can be seen as the arc of a circle.



Figure 4. The rhombic area of overlap between two spherical pupil caps.

\vec{K} we need to find a general equation for the circle of intersection, so that we can find the value of the shifted pupil functions along the circle, and also an equation for the area of the rhombic cross-section.

For convenience we denote the total length of the pupil shift as K

$$K = |\vec{K}| = \sqrt{m^2 + n^2 + s^2} . \quad (18)$$

Cross-sections of the geometry for the intersecting spheres are shown in figures 5 and 6. The shifted pupil spheres can be described using vectors as

$$|\vec{m} \pm \vec{K}/2| = 1 \quad (19)$$

allowing us to solve for the radius of the circle of intersection of the two spheres

$$r_0 = |\vec{r}_0| = \sqrt{1 - \frac{K^2}{4}} . \quad (20)$$

If we can fully specify the circle of intersection using a vector equation for one case, we can use vector scaling and rotation to produce the circle of intersection for




Figure 5. A cross-section through the intersecting spheres for a given offset between them of \vec{K} . For the purposes of this figure, we assume $n = 0$. The radius r_0 of the arc of intersection is shown here as OM . Q_1 is the projection of P_1 from figure 6, the endpoint of the arc of intersection where $\beta = \beta_1$.

any given \vec{K} . Setting $\vec{K}_0 = (K, 0, 0)$, the circle of intersection for this case is

$$\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}_0, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r_0 \sin \beta \\ -r_0 \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

where β is introduced as a parameter to isolate a point on the circle by its angle with the s axis.

We now generalise for arbitrary \vec{K} . Changes in the length of \vec{K} are accommodated already by equation (21). Rotation of \vec{K} whilst keeping $\beta = 0$ at the lowest s coordinate on the circle can be described using a pair of counter-clockwise Euler rotations,




Figure 6. The circle of intersection, in the plane along the line MO that is perpendicular to the (m, s) plane of figure 5 (again assuming $n = 0$ for the purposes of the figure). The arc of intersection is shown as P_1MP_2 , which varies with spatial frequency \vec{K} and aperture angle α . For a constant pupil, the length of this arc, $2\beta_1(\vec{K}, \alpha)$, is proportional to the strength of the transfer function for a given spatial frequency \vec{K} .

$$\vec{R}_n(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

$$\vec{R}_s(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

first about the n axis, then around the s axis, giving a general form for the circle of intersection,

$$\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}, \beta) = \vec{R}_s[-\arctan(n/m)]\vec{R}_n[\pi/2 - \arccos(s/K)]\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}_0, \beta) \quad (24)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} r_0 \frac{1}{lK} [ms \cos \beta - nK \sin \beta] \\ r_0 \frac{1}{lK} [ns \cos \beta + mK \sin \beta] \\ -r_0 \frac{l}{K} \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

where $\arctan(n/m)$ takes account of which quadrant (m, n) is in.

Finally, we need to incorporate the rhombic shape of each intersection area along the circle of intersection (Fig. 4). By geometry, this shape will be constant around

any given circle, but will vary according to the shift \vec{K} between the pupils. The area A of the rhombus is given by

$$A(\vec{K}) = \frac{(\delta k)^2}{Kr_0}, \quad (26)$$

for $K > 0$, giving a line integral. For $K = 0$ the integral becomes a surface integral proportional to δk . For infinitely thin shells, the line and surface integrals cannot be numerically compared. Since we are obviously more interested in the range $K > 0$, we focus on the line integral in this paper, for which $C(\vec{0}) \rightarrow \infty$. This represents the average value of the PSF intensity, which diverges due to the spatially unbounded behaviour of the PSF in equation (1).

Having accounted for the changing cross-section, we can now recast the autocorrelation using the projected vectorial pupil function $\vec{P}_+(\vec{m})$ from equation (12), and integrate along an arc of the circle of intersection, giving an unnormalised equation for the autocorrelation

$$C_{\text{UnNorm}}(\vec{K}) = \frac{(\delta k)^2}{K} \int_{-\beta_1}^{\beta_1} \vec{P}_+ \left[\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}, \beta) + \frac{1}{2}\vec{K} \right] \cdot \vec{P}_+^* \left[\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}, \beta) - \frac{1}{2}\vec{K} \right] d\beta \quad (27)$$

where β_1 is the highest possible angle β on the circle of intersection for a given aperture α , shown as MOP_1 in figure 6, and defined below in equation (33). This allows us to integrate along the complete arc of intersection between the spheres.

Two dimensional and one dimensional OTFs are usually normalised against the zero frequency value. However, for the 3D case, equation (27) gives a singularity at $C(\vec{0})$. Instead we choose to normalise against the total volume of the OTF. By the Fourier definite integral theorem, this is equivalent to the central value of the intensity PSF, which we easily determine [3]. We use uniform (Herschel) apodisation for determining the normalisation. Setting $|\vec{E}(\vec{0})|^2 = 1$, the normalisation factor is

$$N(\alpha) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4}(3 + \cos^2 \alpha) \sin^4 \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}^2. \quad (28)$$

We now arrive at a normalised form of the 3D vectorial OTF that is easy, albeit time consuming, to calculate:

$$C(\vec{K}) = \frac{1}{KN(\alpha)} \int_{-\beta_1}^{\beta_1} \vec{P}_+ \left[\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}, \beta) + \frac{1}{2}\vec{K} \right] \cdot \vec{P}_+^* \left[\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}, \beta) - \frac{1}{2}\vec{K} \right] d\beta. \quad (29)$$

Note that we have made no assumptions about the symmetry of the pupil function \vec{P} nor any assumptions of low aperture, and therefore this equation is suitable for calculating the 3D vectorial OTF with arbitrary pupil functions and apertures. However, there remains some symmetry in $C(\vec{K})$ by virtue of the fact that we defined it as the Fourier transform of a real function, the intensity PSF. This constraint results in Hermitian symmetry in the OTF,

$$C(\vec{K}) = C^*(-\vec{K}) . \quad (30)$$

It is helpful to note that the angles $\theta_{1,2}$ at the centres of the spheres between the s axis and the point of intersection, as shown in figure 5, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta_{1,2}(\vec{K}, \beta) &= -\vec{r}_0(\vec{K}, \beta) \cdot \hat{s} \mp \frac{s}{2} \\ &= r_0 \frac{l}{K} \cos \beta \mp \frac{s}{2} . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We now need to find the appropriate limits on the integration to ensure we only calculate in physical zones, avoiding regions where the spherical caps do not intersect. These conditions define the spatial frequency cutoff:

- (1) If the *spheres* are shifted by more than twice their radius, then they will never intersect. Therefore:

$$K \leq 2 . \quad (32)$$

- (2) The aperture will set a limit $|\beta| \leq \beta_1$ on the *length of the arc* of the circle of intersection. This limit can be found by geometry, substituting $\theta_1 = \alpha$ into equation (31) and solving for β

$$\beta_1(\vec{K}, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \arccos \left\{ \frac{K}{lr_0} \left(\frac{|s|}{2} + \cos \alpha \right) \right\} & \frac{K}{lr_0} \left| \frac{|s|}{2} + \cos \alpha \right| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{K}{lr_0} \left(\frac{|s|}{2} + \cos \alpha \right) \right\} > 1 \\ \pi & \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{K}{lr_0} \left(\frac{|s|}{2} + \cos \alpha \right) \right\} < -1 . \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

The inequality conditions cover cases where the full spheres intersect, but the aperture α truncates the caps before that point, or for regions where for large apertures $\alpha > \pi/2$ the arc of intersection completes a full circle.

- (3) It is useful for certain calculations to know the spatial frequency cutoff in terms of limits on \vec{K} , which may be determined using [5]

$$2(l \sin \alpha - |s| \cos \alpha) = K^2 . \quad (34)$$




Figure 7. A schematic of the 3D vectorial OTF for $\alpha = 2\pi/5$ with Herschel apodisation. The mesh surface indicates the spatial frequency cutoff, outside which the OTF is zero. This surface has been sliced at $n = 0$, revealing the amplitude $C(m, 0, s)$ on that plane of the 3D OTF, after taking the sum of all vector components.

4 Results

We evaluated equation (29) for Herschel and sine apodisations at a very high aperture ($\alpha = 2\pi/5 \Rightarrow \text{NA} = 0.95$ in air) to explore the OTF behaviour under conditions unsuitable for modelling with low NA techniques. Calculations were performed using standard Mathematica integration routines, on a Linux system with an AMD Athlon 1.4 GHz processor. Large plots such as the one in figure 8 took about 4 hours, while figure 9 took 20 minutes. A major speed boost could be expected if the integration was coded in C instead of using Mathematica.


Evaluating the vectorial OTF gives substantial numerical territory to explore. Each 3D point within the spatial frequency cutoff has a scalar value which may be split up into 3 contributions from the vectorial components of the pupil,

$$C = Q_x \star Q_x^* + Q_y \star Q_y^* + Q_z \star Q_z^* \quad (35)$$

$$= C_x + C_y + C_z. \quad (36)$$

The symbol \star denotes autocorrelation. A general overview of the shape of the OTF is given in figure 7, showing the surface of the cutoff and the total value of the OTF for a slice through the function.

Figure 8 shows transverse slices through the vectorial OTF for $s = 0$, with sine apodisation. C_x shows changing asymmetry across the m and n axes. At lower frequencies, the OTF along the m axis is stronger than along the n axis, while at high frequencies the situation is reversed. C_y has a dramatic fourfold symmetry and large negative regions, but this component of the OTF is orthogonal with the input polarisation and has relatively little strength. However, C_z has substantial energy including negative regions with about 30% of the peak strength of C_x . This results






Figure 9. Axial slices through the vectorial OTF for $n = 0$, with $\alpha = 2\pi/5$ and sine apodisation. The vector components and total amplitude are shown. As for the transverse slices, the C_y component in particular shows interesting structure. However C_y is very weak compared with the other components, so this will not have a very large effect overall.




Figure 10. The amplitude C' of the projection through s of the vectorial OTF for $\alpha = 2\pi/5$ and sine apodisation. This is equivalent to figure 10 of Sheppard & Larkin [6] except for the change to sine apodisation. The dashed contour lines at the extreme left and right of the figure enclose the negative regions of C' .

in a total OTF C with large negative regions which indicates a contrast reversal for high frequencies parallel to the x axis in the PSF. While the general characteristics are similar to figures 7–10 of Sheppard & Larkin [6], we emphasise that figure 8 is of *slices* through the OTF rather than the projections shown in Sheppard & Larkin.

Figure 9 shows axial slices through the vectorial OTF for $n = 0$, with sine apodisation. For this case the most dramatic features away from the $s = 0$ plane are to be seen in the C_y component. However, again, this component contributes relatively little to the total OTF.

To enable more direct comparison with Sheppard & Larkin [6] we have plotted in figure 10 the total amplitude $C' = C'_x + C'_y$ of the *projection* through s of the OTF

$$C'(m, n) = \int C(m, n, s) ds, \quad (37)$$

with sine apodisation. By the projection-slice theorem [13], this corresponds to the spatial frequencies in a transverse slice along the focal plane of the PSF. With a negative region of about 10% of the value of the low frequency response, significant contrast reversal can be expected for high m transverse frequencies, while the n frequencies are stronger overall than the m frequencies as has been noted before [11,3] in direct studies of the vectorial PSF.

Calculating the projected OTF in this manner is very time-consuming, taking about 12 hours on our Linux Athlon system. The 2D FFT approach described by Sheppard and Larkin [6] is thus much more efficient for calculation of projections.

5 Discussion

The vectorial OTF is a relatively new concept in optical imaging theory, and raises substantial questions of interpretation and meaning. Clearly there are significant asymmetries introduced at high NA for polarised light. However, their application to modelling an entire imaging system is less straightforward than for standard 2D transfer functions as used in Fourier optics.

The vectorial OTF presented here is simply a representation of the frequency content of the intensity pattern in the focal region of a single lens illuminated by a linearly polarised plane wave. It could be argued, therefore, that the term vectorial OTF is not appropriate, and that it should be called instead the vectorial intensity spatial frequency spectrum. However, we have chosen to use the shorthand notation of vectorial OTF, as the OTF is well-known to represent the spatial frequency content of the intensity PSF in scalar 2D optics.

The performance of a system will depend on the imaging behaviour of at least one lens, and the vectorial response of the specimen. For example, to apply this theory to fluorescence microscopy, we need to use a model of the dipole orientation, rotation and response of the excited molecules for varying incident polarisation and spatial frequency [14]. By incorporating this dipole response model, the vectorial OTF might form an important tool in modelling the frequency response of entire imaging systems. If the dipole can freely rotate, then the image in a confocal fluorescence microscope is the same as for an isotropic point object [15] and we can use the appropriate vectorial OTF directly.

For modelling incoherent transmission microscopy of weakly scattering objects, we can assume a linear system. Again, knowledge of the response of the object to different polarisations and spatial frequencies of light is required for producing a vectorial OTF representing the system as a whole. In this way, the 3D vectorial OTF might be useful for analysing polarisation microscopy.

For strongly scattering objects or changes in specimen refractive index, a more complicated approach is needed [16].

Our use of the Debye approximation assumes a high Fresnel number. However, unless the Fresnel number is infinite, regions of the PSF very far from focus will have smaller Fresnel numbers. Since each point in the OTF naturally encompasses Fourier components from throughout the theoretically infinite extent of the PSF, the Debye approximation places a limit on the accuracy of the OTF. While in general the contributions of regions away from focus will be small due to the concentration of power at focus, this approximation will be a concern for very strong aberrations which distribute significant energy away from the focal point.

Typically aberrations in paraxial systems are modeled using Zernike polynomials describing the phase variation across a circular pupil [17], and this is a useful tool in Fourier optics. Some aberrations, such as defocus or spherical aberration can be described using the radial pupil co-ordinate alone, but, in general, aberrations depend on both the radial and polar co-ordinate. Although Zernike polynomials are not orthogonal for high aperture systems, due to their assumption of a flat 2D pupil, clearly any general description of aberrations will allow for radial asymmetry. This provides a further justification for OTF calculation methods which make no assumptions of symmetry.

A high NA equivalent of the Zernike functions is needed, defined on the cap of a sphere rather than across a circle, to describe focusing aberrations [18]. In addition, the causes of aberrations, such as a refractive index change in the specimen, need to be carefully mapped from rigorous PSF models onto the pupil function [19,20].

Modelling amplitude and phase masks placed in the back focal plane of the lens is somewhat simpler — they can be applied directly to the model presented here using the complex transmission filter $T(m, n)$.

In conclusion, we have presented an autocorrelation based method for evaluating the high NA vectorial OTF using a simple line integral. Arbitrary pupil functions may be used without the need for cylindrical symmetry. We have plotted slices and a projection through the vectorial OTF for unaberrated focusing with sine and Herschel apodisation. Although in principle the same information is contained in the PSF, the OTF makes it easier to see frequency-based focusing characteristics.

For OTF projections, FFT-based methods are more efficient as long as care is taken with accuracy and sampling effects. In addition, such projections of the OTF only carry information about a single plane of the PSF, and care must be used when including them as part of a system model.

In general, our autocorrelation method for calculating the vectorial OTF has the advantage of being straightforward to evaluate for arbitrary pupil functions and arbitrary points in the OTF.

Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Török for illuminating discussions about the meaning of high aperture optical transfer functions. We also thank both Peter Török and Carol Cogswell for their helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

References

- [1] V. S. Ignatowsky, Diffraction by a lens of arbitrary aperture, Trans. Opt. Inst. Petrograd 1, paper 4 (1919) 1–36.
- [2] V. S. Ignatowsky, The relationship between geometrical and wave optics and diffraction of homocentral beams, Trans. Opt. Inst. Petrograd 1, paper 3 (1920) 1–30.
- [3] B. Richards, E. Wolf, Electromagnetic diffraction in optical systems II. Structure of the image field in aplanatic systems, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 253 (1959) 358–379.
- [4] B. R. Frieden, Optical transfer of the three-dimensional object, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 57 (1967) 56–66.
- [5] C. J. R. Sheppard, M. Gu, Y. Kawata, S. Kawata, Three-dimensional transfer functions for high aperture systems obeying the sine condition, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 11 (1994) 593–598.
- [6] C. J. R. Sheppard, K. G. Larkin, Vectorial pupil functions and vectorial transfer functions, Optik 107 (2) (1997) 79–87.

- [7] W. Urbańczyk, Optical transfer function for imaging systems which change the state of light polarization, *Optica Acta* 33 (1) (1986) 53–62.
- [8] L. Mertz, *Transformations in Optics*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [9] C. W. McCutchen, Generalized aperture and the three-dimensional diffraction image, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* 54 (1964) 240–244.
- [10] M. Mansuripur, Distribution of light at and near the focus of high-numerical-aperture objectives, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 3 (12) (1986) 2086–2093.
- [11] H. H. Hopkins, The Airy disc formula for systems of high relative aperture, *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 55 (1943) 116.
- [12] R. N. Bracewell, *The Fourier transform and its applications*, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
- [13] R. N. Bracewell, *Two-dimensional imaging*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [14] P. Török, C. J. R. Sheppard, The role of pinhole size in high aperture two- and three-photon microscopy, in: A. Diaspro (Ed.), *Confocal and two-photon microscopy: Foundations, applications, and advances*, Wiley-Liss, New York, 2002, pp. 127–151.
- [15] C. J. R. Sheppard, P. Török, An electromagnetic theory of imaging in fluorescence microscopy, and imaging in polarization fluorescence microscopy, *Bioimaging* 5 (1997) 205–218.
- [16] A. Rohrbach, E. H. K. Stelzer, Optical trapping of dielectric particles in arbitrary fields, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 18 (4) (2001) 839–853.
- [17] M. Born, E. Wolf, *Principles of optics*, 7th Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K., 1999.
- [18] C. Sheppard, Aberrations in high aperture optical systems, *Optik* 105 (1) (1997) 29–33.
- [19] P. Török, P. Varga, Z. Laczik, G. R. Booker, Electromagnetic diffraction of light focussed through a planar interface between materials of mismatched refractive indices: an integral representation, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 12 (2) (1995) 325–332.
- [20] P. Török, Focusing of electromagnetic waves through dielectric interfaces: theory and correction of aberration, *Opt. Mem. Neural Netw.* 8 (1) (1999) 9–24.